

2017

NFSA Social Audit Report of Mecca Gram Panchayat Mathili Block, Malkangiri District



Collective Action for Nutrition (CAN)

SPREAD

18th May 2017

Brief note about the Gram Panchayat:

Mecca Gram Panchayat (GP) comes under Maithili block of Malkangiri District and majority of the household of the GP belongs to Bhumia Schedule Tribe (ST). There are total 24 villages out of which 5 are revenue villages with 12 wards and 19 are hamlet villages. The GP has total 975 households with 5115 population. The Scheduled Caste (SC) household comprises with only 4.30%, other caste comprises with 5.23%, ST households are 89.74%, are general people are less than 1%. The main source of livelihood of the GP is agriculture, daily wage labourer and also there is dependency on forest produces. The GP is 55 km away from the district head quarter and 18 km away from the block office. 23 villages are connected with all weather roads excluding Kenduguda village. 23 villages are electrified excluding of Bandhaguda village. All villages have drinking water facilities and that source is tube well. There are total 13 AWCs (10 are AWCs and 3 Mini AWCs) having 14 tag villages. The GP has one primary health centre near Kaliaguda village where people visit to avail health services. There are 10 primary schools, 4 upper primary schools and 1 high school in the Gram Panchayat. There are 5 Gaon Kalyan Samitis (GKS) in the GP. The Fair Price Shop (FPS) under Targeted Public Distribution System (TPDS) is running from the Mecca Gram Panchayat office.

Why Social Audit: The National Food security Act (NFSA) which promises to ensure food and nutrition security of people in life cycle approach, was passed in the parliament in 2013. NFSA -13 has basket of entitlement for all age group person viz children, women, and adult. Every eligible individual having Primary House Hold Card (PHH) is entitled to receive 5 Kg of food grain from Targeted Public Distribution System (TPDS) and household coming under Antodaya Anna Yojna (AAY) must get 35 kg of rice every month. For children of age group 3 years to 14 years there is provision of free meal in AWCs and schools under Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS) and Mid Day Meal (MDM) scheme respectively. Similarly there is provision of maternity benefit of least Rs. 6000 as maternity entitlement. The maternity entitlement in Odisha is known as Mamata scheme where entitled women receives Rs. 5000 after meeting the conditionality's. As per section 28 under NFSA-13, People have right to undertake social audit to know the actual functioning, to maintain transparency and accountability in implementation of all schemes covering NFSA-13. The social audit of NFSA in the Panchayat was facilitated by SPREAD during **11th to 17th May, 2017** under the leadership of the Sarpanch of the Panchayat.

The social audit process facilitated by SPREAD, covered ICDS, TPDS and Mamata scheme but MDM was not covered as schools were closed for summer vacation during social audit process. The social audit process culminated with Gram Sabha at Mecca on **18th May, 2017** with participation of community members from the Gram Panchayat, Panchayat Raj Institution (PRI) members and officials.

Table-1, Social audit details

Name of the Gram Panchayat	Mecca
Name of the Block	Mathili
Name of the District	Malkangiri
Programme/Scheme Covered during Social Audit	National Food Security Act ICDS, TPDS and MAMATA
Social Audit Dates	11 th to 17 th May 2017
Date of the Public Hearing (Gram Sabha)	18 th May 2017
Officials who participated in the Gram Sabha	MI, Supervisor

Elected representatives who participated in the Gram Sabha	Block Chairman, Naib Sarpanch, Ward members
Total number Villagers participated in the Gram Sabha	272

Process followed for social audit

1. **Pre Social Audit campaign:** Meetings and awareness drives were conducted in all the villages and hamlets within Panchayat with an objective to sensitize people about their entitlements and need of transparency in implementation of food and nutrition related schemes as well as the social audit processes.
2. **GP level meeting with PRI members:** For making the process organic, community owned and legitimate, meeting was organised with all ward members under chairmanship of Sarpanch. A resolution was passed to conduct a social audit of NFSA-13 in collaboration of SPREAD through this meeting.
3. **Invitation to officials by Sarpanch to participate in Gram Sabha:** Notification about conducting social audit of TPDS, ICDS and Mamata scheme was intimated by Sarpanch through letter and also personal visits to the block level official of concerned departments like Block Development Officer (BDO), Child Development Project Officer (CDPO), and Marketing Inspector (MI). The officials were invited to participate in the Gram Sabha. All village and Panchayat level functionaries like Panchayat Executive Officer (PEO), Gram Rozgar Sahayak (GRS), Auxiliary Nurse Midwife (ANM), Anganwadi Workers (AWW), and Accredited Social Health Activist (ASHA) were also informed about social audit and were asked to remain present in the Gramsabha.
4. **GP profile preparation and secondary data collection:** GP profile comprising of demographic information, members of community monitoring bodies like Gaon Kalyan Samiti (GKS), Mothers Committee (MC), Jaanch Committee (JC), School Management committee (SMC) was prepared by the concern Block level team of SPREAD. Also beneficiary detail relating to infrastructure and equipment of each AWC of the GP were collected. Route map of the GP indicating AWCs and other milestone was prepared. This helped in smooth operation of social audit process. Panchayat profile is given in Annexure -

Methodology followed during social audit process:

Sampling of AWCs: Considering time and resource in hand it was decided that 50% of AWCs of GP will be taken as sample for social audit. Lottery method was applied to identify the sampled AWCs. Names of all AWCs were written on small piece of paper in front of the present members; the chits were then folded, shuffled and kept in front of all. A meeting was held on 11th May 2017 at Mecca GP office in presence of Sarpanch, ward members and AWWs of the Panchayat. The social audit team appraised the participants on social audit process and selection of sample AWCs through lottery method. The Sarpanch of the GP selected 6 AWCs (Mecca, Bijapadar, Damapada, Pujariguda, Siraguda, & Durkaguda) for Social Audit by lottery.

Village level meeting: Social Audit Team comprised of Block coordinators (BC), Gram Panchayat Coordinators (GPC) and Samikhya Sathi (SS) was formed and assigned villages for village meeting. Team was oriented about the agenda of so that everyone remain on same page and to avoid giving any misleading information too villagers. Village meeting in all villages where sampled AWCs were situated was organised to inform community about the social audit of NFSA. One each meeting was conducted in first and second half of day. Ward Members, GKS members, SHG members were consulted about the issue regarding functioning of ICDS, TPDS and MAMATA schemes in their village. In village meeting, information about schemes and role of community in form of Jaanch committee, Mother Committee was also shared with the participants. Issues pertaining to TPDS, ICDS, and MAMATA were identified in village meetings. Information provided by community members on different issues of regularity, availability, accessibility, quantity, quality, denial of services were noted down as minutes and readout in the end of meeting. All community members present were requested to give their signature if they approve the minutes. Also the Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) were fixed either on the same day after the village meeting or the next day in consultation with community. In Mecca GP, 6 village meetings were organized where 116 individuals participated across 6 villages.

Focus Group Discussion: Focus Group Discussion was held with beneficiaries of ICDS, MAMATA and TPDS in all the sample villages. In tag villages of AWCs and distant hamlets from AWCs, separate FGDs were conducted. Participants of the FGD were women who are either pregnant or lactating or whose children (6 month to 6 years) registered in the sample AWCs. FGD was conducted based on pre formulated lead questions to gather opinion of group about functioning of services and schemes related NFSA- 13. Growth Monitoring Chart, Mid Upper Arm Circumference (MUAC) tape and Mother Child Protection cards (MCP) were used to share information with participants and further they were asked to share their opinion regarding growth monitoring services and health services like immunization, health check up, Ante Natal Care (ANC) etc. 9 FGDs were held where 156 beneficiaries participated.

Responses of FGD were recorded as minutes of meeting and signature of all participants were taken. At the end of FGD, responses of group was shared with participants and theirs accent was taken. Further these responses were analysed on separate indicators and recorded in the format.

Table-2, FGD and Village meeting details

Sample AWC	Place of Village Meeting	Participants Number	Date of Village meeting	Number of Participants in FGD	Date of FGD meeting
Bijapadar	Bijapadar –Village Mondap	29	16.5.17	29 30 14	16.5.17-Raniguda, Limaguda & Matiguda
Damapada	Damapada-village meeting place	48	15.5.17	17	15.5.17-Mundaguda
Durkaguda	Durkaguda-village Mandap			23	16.5.17-Durkaguda
Mecca	Mecca-GP office	57	11.5.17	54	17/5/2017
Pujariguda	Pujariguda-Village Mondap	27	15.5.17	32	16.5.17-Pujariguda

Siraguda	Siraguda-Village Mondap	32	15.5.17	17	14.5.17-Nuaguda & Bhaliaguda
				13	
Total		116		156	

Testimony collection: Testimonies related to services of ICDS, MAMATA, and TPDS from concerned and aggrieved community members and individuals were collected and presented in the Gramsabha.

Verification with Individual Beneficiaries: it was decided to personally verify 20% of ICDS beneficiary of all categories i.e. 6 month to 6 years of children, pregnant and lactating women and MAMATA beneficiaries. Respondent were selected as every fifth beneficiary from the beneficiary list. Also with the same respondent if she was TPDS beneficiary, TPDS format was filled. SPREAD had prepared a standard verification format for each scheme. Total 104 beneficiaries were personally interviewed in door to door verification.

Table-3, Beneficiaries interviewed during the Social Audit (Scheme wise)

Scheme	No of Beneficiaries
ICDS	Pregnant Mothers:- 26 Lactating Mothers:- 18 6 month to 3 year Children:-23 3 years to 6 years Children:-51
Number of Mamata beneficiaries interviewed	48
Number of TPDS beneficiaries interviewed	PHH: - 112 AAY: - 06

Physical verification: The team members visited the sample AWCs to look in to the status of infrastructure, equipment at the centres such as building, toilet, drinking water facility, boundary wall, weighing equipments, hygiene kit etc. The team also look in to the status of registers maintained at the centre. Along with AWCs, the team also visited the Fair Price Shops (FPS) to look in to the display of transparency board, functioning of weighing machine, status of registers etc.

Compilation and report preparation: Information collected through Village Meetings, FGDs, testimonies, Individual beneficiary interviewed and physical verifications of AWCs and FPS was validated and compiled to prepare report for Gramsabha. Different tools used for information gathering assisted in authentication of information. Issue wise report of GP was prepared collectively. Team were divided and given responsibility to prepare certain part of report. Then they presented report to the team, also inputs from other team members were debated and incorporated in the final report.

Gram Sabha: The Gram Sabha was held on 18th May, 2017 in front of the Panchayat office where findings of social audit process were shared. In the Gram Sabha, 272 community members along with Block Chairperson, Marketing Inspector, Supervisor, Naib Sarpanch & Ward Members were participated. The Gram Sabha was chaired by the concern Sarpanch. Issue wise report supported by testimonies was presented in the Gram Sabha. Service providers were given opportunity to state their opinion and Sarpanch was requested to suggest the solution which was adopted as resolution of Gram Sabha with consent of people of GPs. The social audit team members had set up a kiosk near the Gram Sabha venue where the team members noted down the grievance details brought by the people. However, the grievances collected during the process were not limited to NFSA only. The team collected all kinds of grievances that community submitted. The details list of grievances

collected has been provided in the annexure 4. The grievances were later on handed over to the concerned officials.

Findings

Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS) Scheme:

Positive findings

All the 6 numbers of verified AWCs are running under own building and they all are having Safe drinking water facility.

All the 6 AWCs are using Hygiene kit. and

All the 6 numbers of AWWs are residing in the village where AWC is situated.

In Mecca Panchayat, out of 13 AWCs, 6 AWCs were selected as sample AWCs for social audit process. As per the table given below, the 6 sample AWCs cover 815 households in which total number of beneficiaries is 456 out of which 26% were interviewed personally during social audit verification. 32% (51/160) of preschool children, 10% (23/221) of Children in the age group of 6 months to 3 years, 60% (26/43) pregnant women and 56% (18/32) lactating women beneficiaries was the sample size door to door verification process during social audit..

Table-4, AWC Sample detail

AWC Name	Total Household Covered under AWC	Number of Pre School Children		Number of 6 Months to 3 Years Children		Number of Pregnant women		Number of Lactating Women		Total	
		Total in AWC	Sample	Total in AWC	Sample	Total in AWC	Sample	Total in AWC	Sample	Total in AWC	Sample
Bijapadar	121	M:9 F:16 T:25	M:2 F:3 T:5	M:17 F:25 T:42	M:4 F:5 T:9	6	3	5	4	78	21
Damapada	156	M:13 F:7 T:20	M:3 F:4 T:7	M:14 F:8 T:22	M:5 F:2 T:7	4	4	4	4	50	22
Durkaguda	108	M:12 F:14 T:26	M:5 F:2 T:7	M:17 F:11 T:28	M:1 F:2 T:3	8	4	7	2	69	16
Mecca	136	M:16 F:13 T:29	M:7 F:5 T:12	M:23 F:21 T:44	M:0 F:0 T:0	10	6	6	3	89	21
Pujariguda	148	M:23 F:20 T:43	M:8 F:3 T:11	M:32 F:28 T:60	M:0 F:0 T:0	8	4	8	3	119	18
Siraguda	146	M:7 F:10 T:17	M:5 F:4 T:9	M:12 F:13 T:25	M:3 F:1 T:4	7	5	2	2	51	20
TOTAL	815	160	51	221	23	43	26	32	18	456	118

Infrastructure and equipments

Table- 5, Infrastructure and equipment position at the sample AWCs

Name of AWC	Own Building	Separate Kitchen Shed	Boundary Wall	Toilet	Weighing Machine for Children	Weighing Machine for Adult	Hygiene Kit	Teaching and Learning material
Bijapadar	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Damapada	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Durkaguda	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mecca	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Pujariguda	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Siraguda	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes

It was reported that all the 6 AWCs had their own buildings. In Siraguda AWC & Durkaguda AWC separate kitchen sheds were not found. None of the AWCs were found to have functional toilets as well as boundary walls in their premises for the safety of the children. Except Siraguda AWC all others have weighing machines for children and in Mecca and Siraguda AWC there is no weighing machine for woman. It was found that all the 6 AWCs are using Hygiene kit, whereas 2 AWCs does not have teaching learning materials.

Detail Findings on functioning of ICDS from Social Audit

1. Staffing and regularity

- a. **Staffing:** All 6 sample AWCs have Anganwadi workers and Anganwadi Helpers are in place as per norm. It came out during the social audit process that all six AWHs and AWWs reside in the same village where their concern AWC is based however.
- b. **Regularity of Anganwadi services:** The total respondents 105 covering 6 AWCs, out which it was reported that on an average in 6 AWCs, 55.33% of the AWWs come to AWCs regularly and in 50.66% the AWCs runs every day. But it came to notice that the AWW of Damapada AWC is not at all coming to the centre. And in Bijapadar only 14% of the respondents said that the AWW is coming regularly to the centre and only 5% of the respondents said that the Centre is opening regularly.

2. Supplementary Nutrition:

- a. **Hot Cooked Meal (Table 6):** It came out from the social audit process that 41.33% of the AWCs provide adequate food with 60.83% of the AWCs following the stipulated menu for providing hot cooked meals to the children. Whereas only 21.16% of the centres provide morning snacks to the children in preschool but it came to notice that the beneficiaries of Damapada, Durukaguda and Pujariguda AWCs are not at all satisfied with the quantity of hot cooked food provided to the children. Damapada, Durukaguda and Bijapadar beneficiaries' mothers said that they are not happy with the quality of food and only 45.16% of total beneficiaries are satisfied on the quality.

Table-6, Status of hot cooked meal and Pre-school

Name of AWC	Adequate Quantity of HCM (respondent %)	Satisfied with Quality of HCM (respondent %)	Menu Being followed every day (respondent %)	Morning Snacks (respondent %)	Pre-School Activities done every day (respondent %)
Bijapadar	75	5	8	0	0
Damapada	0	0	0	0	0
Durukaguda	0	6	82	75	6
Mecca	90	100	90	32	0
Pujariguda	0	60	85	0	0
Sira Guda	83	100	100	20	40
Total Avg. (%)	41.33	45.16	60.83	21.16	7.66

- a. **Take Home Ration (Table-7):** It was reported that of 6 AWCs of the GP average 5.66% of the beneficiaries have received their share of the quote for Chhatua, out of that the beneficiaries of Bijapadar, Damapada, Durukaguda and Sira Guda responded that they have not received 2 packets of Chhatua in last month at all. Whereas average 19.16% of the beneficiaries in only 3 AWCs have got 8 eggs as take home ration in last month, but none of the beneficiaries of Bijapadar, Damapada and Pujariguda has received 8 eggs in last month, the data says.

Table-7, Take Home Ration

Name of the AWCs	Received 2 packet Chhatua in last month (respondent %)	Received 8 eggs in last month (respondent %)	Average egg per beneficiary distributed in last month (respondent %)
Bijapadar	0	0	4
Damapada	0	0	0
Durukaguda	0	50	6.4
Mecca	20	40	5.6
Pujariguda	14	0	2
Siraguda	0	25	6.6
Total Avg. (%)	5.66	19.16	

3. Health services (Table-8):

- a. **Weighing of children:** According to respondents (mother of the children) only 8.5% of the children in the entire GP were weighed only thrice in past three months and only 37.5% of the beneficiaries said that their weight is measured every month. And only 32.83% of the beneficiaries confirmed that their child being measured by using of MUAC tape. It was found that in the AWCs of Damapada and Siraguda, none of the children weight measured thrice in last 3 months. And in Damapada AWC, the weight of the children was not at all shared with their mothers neither the Mother's weight measured thrice in last 3 months even Pujariguda performance is also very low. Also it was found that in Damapada AWC the beneficiary's mothers are not aware about the colour zone of the child.
- b. **Immunization:** It came out from the social audit that average 74.66% of the children in the 6 AWCs were fully immunized. Out of that the children of Damapada AWC were 100%

immunized and in Durukaguda AWC children are 93% immunized. Out of 6 AWCs Mecca and Siraguda are the lowest performer and there the immunization rate was average 50% & 57% respectively.

Table 8: Health Services

Name of the AWCs	Children weight measured thrice in last 3 months (%)	Weight of the children shared with mother (%)	MUAC measurement done for the children in last 3 months (%)	Mother unaware of the colour zone of the child (%)	Full Immunization of the children (%)	Mother's weight measured thrice in last 3 months (%)
Bijapadar	5	32	37	68	68	91
Damapada	0	0	0	100	100	0
Durukaguda	18	35	38	0	93	24
Mecca	15	54	17	38	50	40
Pujariguda	13	38	64	0	80	10
Siraguda	0	69	41	35	57	60
Total Avg. (%)	8.5	38	32.83	40.16	74.66	37.5

4. **Pre-school education (Table-6):** Out of total respondents, average 7.66% said that the Pre-School Activities done every day, and the recorded statements are only from Siraguda and Durukaguda AWCs. Rest 4 AWC's beneficiary's mothers said that the pre-school activities were not done every day.
5. **Maintenance of Registers:** It was reported that all most all the AWCs have maintained the THR distribution register, Preschool register, immunization registers. Out of 6 AWCs, 4 AWCs had not maintaining Referral registers at all. It was found that in Bijapadar and Durukaguda AWCs there was no record maintenance of THR stock registers and Household survey registers.
6. **Monitoring and Supervision (Table 9):** It was shared by the Anganwadi workers that out of 6 AWCs, 4 centers were visited by CDPO and only 2 centers (Durukaguda, Siraguda) were not visited by the CDPO in the last three months, and the concern supervisor had visited all sample centers except Durukaguda. ANM had visited Damapada, Durukaguda, Pujarigud and Siraguda Anganwadi Centres less than three days in the last three months.

Table 9: Monitoring and Supervision

AWC never visited by CDPO in last three months	AWC never visited by Supervisor in last three months	ANM visited AWC less than three days in last three months by
Durukaguda, Siraguda	Durukaguda	Damapada, Durukaguda, Pujarigud, Siraguda

7. **Mother and child death records:** From the mother and child death record between 2016 January to 2017 of all 6 AWCs, it was observed that the total 8 numbers of children died due

to Japanese encephalitis in Durkuguda and Pujariguda AWC areas in Mecca, and one lactating mother has died in 2016 in Bijapadar AWC.

- 8. Fund flow:** It was also found that the AWWs of all the 6 AWCs are not getting the fund on time. Except for the rice, the AWWs get the fund of pre-school once in every three months. All the AWWs are investing own fund for providing HCM and morning snacks for pre-school children at the centers.
- 9. Challenges faced by AWW in running AWCs:** From the interaction with AWWs, it came out that monthly salary of AWW and AWH is not regular, and for daily expenditure the fund is not timely transfer. The AWWs are engaged and assigned in different Govt. official work. Even Jaanch committee and Mother's committee members are not cooperative for AWC. The Ward members are taking Rs.50/- to withdraw money from Bank. They are also not getting proper guidance from supervisor, CDPO, so not able to write the document and register properly, and also the registers are so many so the AWWs are dependent on others to update the registers.

MAMATA: Maternity Benefit Programme

Table- 10, Mamata details

Mamata details	
Number women eligible for MAMATA entitlement interviewed	48
Number of women eligible for MAMATA but not received any installment	34

Detail Findings of the Social Audit:

- 1. MCP card availability and regular fill up:** - 48 women eligible for MAMATA entitlement were verified during the Social Audit process. 56.2% of the beneficiaries had their MCP cards with themselves whereas, 31.2% of the beneficiaries had their cards with the AWWs. It was observed that all the MCP cards were not filled up properly.
- 2. Installments:** Out of 48 respondents, only 14 women had got their money under MAMATA, but 34 numbers or 71% of the total of women are though eligible for MAMATA but had not received any installments. In the AWC of Bijapada, Mecca and Pujariguda the number of beneficiaries are eligible but not received any installment are 9, 8 & 7 respectively. In AWCs of Bijapadar 2 beneficiaries have got 1st installment money, in Damapada also 2 beneficiaries and Mecca 1 beneficiary have got 1st installment money. Whereas 2 beneficiaries of Siraguda AWC got up to 2nd installment.
- 3. List reason of denial of MAMATA benefits:** The reasons for denial of Mamata benefits to the beneficiaries were; AWW did not provide proper information, beneficiary did not submit pass book on time and not fulfilling one of the conditionality of getting money under MAMATA and not having bank account etc.

Table-11, Cases of denial of Mamata benefits

Bati Nayak of Durkaguda village denied for getting money under MAMATA because the AWW did not provide proper information.
Kusuma Mademi of Bhaliaguda village was not able to get money under MAMATA because she did not submit pass book on time.
Kanta Pujari of Nuaguda village did not take immunisation till 8th month while pregnant, so she was denied to get MAMATA benefit.

- 4. Committee Meetings and Grievance:** - Out of 48 eligible right holders 14 right holders gave grievance at AWW and 30 right holders don't know whom to give grievance about delay of MAMATA Money. During interaction FGD in Mundaguda (TGV), Limaguda (TGV), Bhaliaguda (TGV), Nuaguda (TGV) all participated beneficiaries do not know about the mother committee and Jaanch committee and their role and responsibility. And they do not know where they will complain if they do not get money.

Targeted Public Distribution System

Positive findings

All the 118 surveyed beneficiaries are satisfied with the quality of the food grain, right holders interviewed are paying as per the norm of Odisha Govt. i.e Rs.1 per/kg rice. Food grains are being distributed to the right holders regularly.

Table-12, Status of TPDS in Mecca Gram Panchayat

Number of HHs having card NFSA	PHH	1195
	AAY	185
	Total	1380
Total allotment of food grains under TPDS in quintals (Rice+ wheat)		Rice-147.86, Wheat-5.89
Rice during allotted during last month (previous month of the audit) - for PHH		170.92
Rice during allotted during last month (previous month of the audit) - for AAY		72.80
Wheat during allotted during last month (previous month of the audit) - for PHH		1.73
Number of Household verified during social audit		118
PHH verified during social audit		112
AAY HHs verified during social audit		06
Total Individuals enlisted under TPDS from verified household - PHH		410
Actual number of family members of PHH from verified households		585
	Widow headed HHs	2
	Total	2
Eligible for AAY but included under PHH from surveyed Households		1
Eligible but left out households (from FGD and Testimony)		3
Double cards issued to number of families		0
Number of surveyed household Satisfied with quality		118
Number of surveyed household Satisfied with weight		90
Regular food grain distribution at FPS		Yes

Consolidated findings of TPDS in the Gram Panchayat:

1. **Coverage:** It is found from the secondary data that 1380 total number of TPDS card are distributed in the GP, 1195 household are getting PHH card and 185 household are getting AAY card. And total 4888 population are included in the TPDS scheme in the GP, among them 3780 are in PHH card and 1128 family members are include in AAY card. On allotment of ration for the month April it was total 252.75 quintals of ration provided to the GP. 183.11 quintals for PHH rice, 64.75 quintals for AAY rice and 5.89 quintals of wheat for PHH card holders.
2. **Exclusion and Inclusion errors:**
 - a. **AAY:** During meeting with the villagers the team came to know that there were 2 numbers of widow women in Gura Bhumua of Mundaguda and Nila Nayak of Damapada village were eligible for getting AAY card but they have included in TPDS.
 - b. **PHH:** During interaction with beneficiaries Pujariguda, Durkaguda, Raniguda, Limaguda and Mundaguda village FGD it came to know that 9 eligible household are exclude to getting PHH card in the villages. And also it came to know that some household members are excluded from existing PHH card in village.

Table -13, Exclusion within PHH

No of HH verified (for PHH only)	Actual no of family members	Number of family members whose name is in the card	Percentage of exclusion within house holds
112	585	410	175

- 3. Regularity:** - All respondents were of the view that food grains are being distributed to the right holders by the Gram Panchayat regularly in last six months.
- 4. Quantity and Quality of Food grains:** Most of the beneficiaries (97.45%) were satisfied with the quality of food grain. However, 77.96% of beneficiaries were satisfied with quantity of food grain provided through FPS.
- 5. Amount paid for food grain:** All PHH and AAY card holders paid Rs. 1 per kg for their ration. And no complain was found to be there.
- 6. Transparency and accountability:** The GP level Vigilance/Advisory Committee had not been formed in the Mecca Panchayat. They are not aware about the vigilance committee as it is not formed. Individuals for inclusion and exclusion in TPDS system were identified by Jogan Sahayak and the list was submitted to RCMS. Last six month Marketing Inspector visited the FPS twice for supervising and monitoring ration distribution. The transparency board was not displayed at the FPS.

Table-14, display of transparency board

List of all Ration Cards attached to the shop	Entitlement of essential commodities	Retail issue price of each of the essential commodities	Timing of opening and closing of the Fair Price Shop	Stocks of each of the essential commodities received during the month on daily basis	Opening and closing stock of each of the essential commodities on daily basis	The name and address of the authority before whom grievance may be made	GP level Advisory Committee
Not Displayed	Not Displayed	Yes Displayed	Not Displayed	Not Displayed	Not Displayed	Not Displayed	Not Formed

- 7. Issues related to Aadhaar:** In FGDs, beneficiaries are said Jogan Sahayaks are instructed to submit the Aadhaar cards of the beneficiaries at TPDS centre, and are unofficially instructed to not provide ration without seeding of Aadhaar card.
- 8. Grievance Redressal:** The right holders are not aware about the grievance mechanism.

Annexure- 1

Gram Panchayat Details

Number of Revenue Villages in the Panchayat	5
Number of Hamlet Villages in the Panchayat	19
Number of Wards in the Panchayat	12
Total number of Tag Villages (as per CDPO office data)	14
Household Details of the Panchayat	SC:- 42 ST:-875 OBC:- 51 GEN:-7 Total:- 975
Total Population of the Gram Panchayat	SC:- 311 ST:-4522 OBC:- 255 Gen:-27 Total:- 5115
Total number of Anganwadi Centres (AWC) in the Panchayat	AWC:-10 Mini AWC:- 3 Total:13
Total number of Schools in the Panchayat	Primary:-12 Upper Primary:-4 High School:- 1
Total number of GKS in Panchayat	5
Total number of ration card holders in the Panchayat	PHH:- 1195 AAY:-185 Total:-1380
Distance of the Gram Panchayat from block head quarter	18KM

Annexure -2

Testimonies

Sl.	Name	Village	Identity details	Issue on which testimony has been given
Scheme – TPDS				
1	Budra Gurutali	Pujariguda	Adhaar No-548345708381 Vote id-OR/13/087/146154	Not enrolment in TPDS
2	Lachhama Nayak	Durkaguda		Not enrolment in TPDS
3	Gura Bhumia	Mundaguda		Not enrolment in TPDS
4	Nira Nayak	Damapada	Adhaar .690122816657	Eligible to get AAY card
Scheme – MAMATA				
1	Bati Nayak	Durkaguda	Adhaar No.476008247129 Vote id-WBY0555102 MCP No.213046902011600008	Not get MAMATA Money
2	Kusuma Mademi	Bhaliaguda	Adhar No-4333776141014 MCP NO-213046902021500123	Not get MAMATA Money
3	Kanta Pujari	Nuaguda	Vote Id.WBY0866921 Adhaar No.315275699961	Not take immunisation in 8 th month pregnancy

Annexure-3
Case Studies

Case Study- TPDS

Gura Bhumia
W/o Hari Bhumia
Village: Mundaguda

Gura Bhumia a 60 year old woman of Mundaguda village. She has no one in her family to support her and take care of her. She is surviving with periodical daily wage and collecting NTFP on seasonal basis and she also gets Rs. 300 per month as old age pension which is not sufficient for her. Gura Bhumia is eligible of getting AAY card as per criteria for widow women but she does not have any TPDS card. During the TPDS survey her name was identified yet she was deprived of getting the benefits from the scheme.

Case Study-MAMATA

Bati Nayak
W/o Narasigha Nayak
Village: Durkaguda

Bati Nayak is a lactating mother in Durkaguda village. She has registered her first pregnancy in MAMATA scheme, during 2nd trimester in Durkaguda AWC. She has completed all the criteria and regularly attended the VHNDs and also has gone through all ANC and PNC in the AWC, still she has not received any instalments from the MAMATA scheme as of now. She always enquired regarding her MAMATA instalment to which the AWW Laxmi Samrath was unable to respond. She does not know whom to approach for her grievance regarding the instalments.

Case Study-ICDS

Bhaliaguda village is a tag village of Siraguda AWC. The village is located 2 Km away from the AWC. Beneficiaries complained that AWW did not visit the centre and the children, lactating mothers and pregnant mothers are not getting any benefit from the ICDS scheme. Monthly, only four eggs are provided from the AWC. AWW do not share any information on the date of immunization in the centre. From last two years no MAMATA beneficiaries in the village receive money from scheme. They do not know about their role and responsibility of mother committee and Jaanch committee in AWC. For the first time they came to know about "MAMATA Diwas" from social audit team. They do not know whether they will complain for getting MAMATA money, ICDS benefit from AWC.

Annexure-4

Grievances collected during Social Audit

Sl.	Name of the scheme/Programme	Name	Address	Identity details	Remarks
1	TPDS	Padama Nayak	Manjariguda	532896779797	Inclusion
2	TPDS	Sarda Nayak	Bijapadar	1040/18524/01529	Inclusion
3	TPDS	Tulsa nayak	Nuaguda	555564294867	Inclusion
4	TPDS	Radhika Dei	Nuaguda	370895921896	Inclusion
5	TPDS	Mangulu Nayak	Nuaguda	986259286726	Inclusion
6	TPDS	Damai Nayak	Nuaguda	593610926354	Inclusion
7	TPDS	Jamuna Nayak	Bijapadar	609491922300	Inclusion
8	TPDS	Lovely nayak	Bijapadar	625480589246	Inclusion
9	TPDS	Malati Nayak	Bijapadr	359926813368	Inclusion
10	TPDS	Purnima Nayak	Bijapadr	205826296400	Inclusion
11	TPDS	Mamta Bhumia	Bijapadar	613451858920	Inclusion
12	TPDS	Mana Bhumia	Bijapadar	1358/63312/01808	Inclusion
13	TPDS	Shiba Nayak	Damapada	645519114757	Inclusion
14	TPDS	Rama Nayak	Damapada	930923658596	Inclusion
15	TPDS	Shanty Nayak	Damapada	698869679456	Inclusion
16	TPDS	Suni Odi	Damapada	10401753600098	Inclusion
17	TPDS	Ajit odi	Damapada	267142124066	Inclusion
18	TPDS	Krsuhna Behera	Kupuliguda	225941909053	Inclusion
19	TPDS	Dhane Behera	Kupuliguda	701756734677	Inclusion
20	TPDS	Ratna Bade	Limaguda	240085492934	Inclusion
21	TPDS	Arti Bade	Limaguda	469121646743	Inclusion

Annexure – 5

List of officials, elected representatives participated in the Gram Sabha

Name	Full Designation	Mobile No
Laxmipriya Nayak	Block Chairman	8018370444
B.Majhi	Marketing Inspector	
Rekha Sabhasundar	Supervisor ,ICDS	9437161747
Droupati Behera	Supervisor ,ICDS	
Padmini Putia	Ward Member – 1	
Lachman Putia	Ward Member - 2	
Radhika nayak	Ward Member - 3	
Ramdas nayak	Ward Member - 4	8895197706
Mangali nayak	Ward Member - 5	
Tularam nayak	Ward Member - 6	
Sukri Nayak	Ward Member - 7	9178346880
Rukmani Nayak	Ward Member - 8	
Inga bhumia	Ward Member - 9	9777363732
Lalmani Nayak	Ward Member - 10	
Tulsaram Bhumia	Ward Member – 11	7751812180
Parbati Putia	Ward Member - 12	8658875260

Annexure – 6
Details of the Social Audit team

Sl.	Name	Full Designation	Mobile No
1	Ratikanta Behera	District Coordinator	9853855014
2	Fakira Ch Routa	District coordinator	9938550554
3	Amin Baig	Block coordinator	9556656507
4	Sukadev Nayak	Block coordinator	8763330793
5	Prabhat Ponda	Block coordinator	9438477155
6	Bibhuti Sahoo	G.P coordinator	
7	Chandrasen Khudpia	G.P coordinator	9437407718
8	Lalit Khara	G.P Coordinator	8763892410
9	Chandrabati Dora	G.P Coordinator	8895524032
10	Kausalya Pujari	G.P coordinator	9439398490
11	Samaru Nayak	G.P Coordinator	8018675806
12	Damburu Bhumia	Samikshya Sathee	7077276503
13	Lachhim Bhumia	Samikshya Sathee	8018213211
14	Gitanjali Bhatra	Samikya Sathi	

Annexure – 7

News Clippings

ଖାଦ୍ୟ ନିରାପତ୍ତା ଆଇନ୍‌ର ସାମାଜିକ ସମୀକ୍ଷା

ମାଧୁଲି, ୧୯୫ (ଡି.ଏନ୍.ଏ) – ମାଧୁଲି ଖାଦ୍ୟ ନିରାପତ୍ତା ଆଇନ୍ କ୍ରମରେ
ରୁକ ଅନ୍ତର୍ଗତ ମେଳାଠାରେ ଜାତୀୟ ପଞ୍ଚାୟତ ସ୍ତରୀୟ ସାମାଜିକ ସମୀକ୍ଷା



ସାମାଜିକ ସମୀକ୍ଷା ବୈଠକରେ ଅଧିକାରୀ ଓ ବଂ ସ୍ଥାନୀୟ ବାସିନ୍ଦା ।

ଅନୁଷ୍ଠିତ ହୋଇଛି । ଗୋବିନ୍ଦପାଲି
ସ୍ଥିତ କ୍ଷେତ୍ର ସଂସ୍ଥା ତରଫରୁ
ଆୟୋଜିତ ଓଡ଼ି କାର୍ଯ୍ୟକ୍ରମରେ
ରୁକ ଅଧିକାରୀ ଲକ୍ଷ୍ମୀପ୍ରିୟା ନାୟକ,
ମାଧୁଲି ଓମ୍ପାଲ ବି.କେ. ମାଝି,
ସୁପରଭାଇଜର, ମେଳା ସରପଞ୍ଚ,
କ୍ଷେତ୍ର ମାଲକାନଗିରି କର୍ମଚାରୀ
ପ୍ରମୁଖ ଯୋଗ ଦେଇଥିଲେ ।
ଓଡ଼ି ଅବସରରେ ରାଷ୍ଟ୍ରୀୟ
ଖାଦ୍ୟ ସୁରକ୍ଷା ଆଇନ ସମ୍ପର୍କିତ
ଆଲୋଚନା ହୋଇଥିଲା । ଓଡ଼ା
ବ୍ୟତୀତ ଖାଦ୍ୟର ନିରାପତ୍ତା,
ହିତାଧିକାରୀ କିଣିପାରିବା ରୁକି
ମୂଲ୍ୟରେ ଗୁଣାତ୍ମକ ଖାଦ୍ୟ ବ୍ୟବସ୍ଥା,
ସାଧାରଣ ବର୍ଣ୍ଣନ ବ୍ୟବସ୍ଥା, ମଧ୍ୟାହ୍ନ
ଭୋଜନ, ମମତା ଯୋଜନା ଓ
ସମନ୍ୱୟ ଶିଶୁ ବିକାଶ ଯୋଜନାର
ସାମାଜିକ ସମୀକ୍ଷା କରାଯାଇଥିଲା ।

CM
K

Annexure – 8

Photographs



End of the document